NYSAC 2024 Fall Seminar Standing Committee on Taxation and Finance Resolution #2

Resolution Urging Governor Hochul to Veto S.967-A (Ryan)/A.1489-A (Thiele), Legislation that Would Lower the Penalty Interest Rate in Tax Delinquency Situations and Shift Higher Costs to Other Taxpayers

WHEREAS, on May 25, 2023, the U.S. Supreme Court ruled in *Tyler v. Hennepin County* that certain property tax enforcement provisions carried out by states will need to change, specifically, returning any surplus to the prior owner after appropriate delinquent taxes, penalties, fees and administrative expenses are reimbursed to the enforcing entity; and

WHEREAS, the adopted SFY 2025 Budget fully addressed the issue of appropriate interest rate levels in tax delinquency foreclosures as part of reform efforts to satisfy the *Tyler v Hennepin Decision*, by ensuring surplus proceeds from a tax foreclosure sale are appropriately returned to the prior owner(s), while building upon the robust comprehensive services and protections counties provide to taxpayers facing, or in, delinquency; and

 WHEREAS, the adopted reforms to New York's In-Rem tax foreclosure process provide a robust process for the distribution of surplus; establishes parameters for determining surplus; ensures that a court will determine the order and priority of returning surplus funds; provides protections for lienholders; provides legal protections to municipalities by establishing that a property sold via a public auction represents the full value of the property; and defines a process for nonpublic sales; and

WHEREAS, the adopted reforms also establish mechanisms for counties to be reimbursed for delinquent taxes, penalties and interest; mailing costs; costs of publication of notices; the cost of recording and filing legal documents; reasonable and necessary costs of any search of the public record and notice requirements; and defines other amounts owed to the tax district by virtue of a judgment lien, mortgage lien, or any other lien; and

WHEREAS, the adopted reforms recognize that counties, as required under state law, must act as tax enforcement officers when property taxes are unpaid and become delinquent including the reimbursement of unpaid property taxes to school districts and towns, as well as many villages that counties voluntarily hold harmless; and

WHEREAS, these hold harmless responsibilities often extend for many years as defined under state law and practice; and

WHEREAS, in calendar year 2022, nearly \$450 million in delinquent school district, town, and village taxes were turned over to counties for further collection efforts, with counties making these jurisdictions whole for their unpaid taxes as long as necessary; and

WHEREAS, counties will experience higher costs under the new foreclosure process because of requirements under the *Tyler v. Hennepin Decision* under which all tax foreclosure sales will, financially, be breakeven at best with many generating losses for the tax enforcing jurisdiction; and

WHEREAS, the majority of locally levied county taxes are used to pay for state mandated services and programs, and the SFY 2025 State Budget provides no additional state funding to assist counties with losses that will increase because of the *Tyler v*. *Hennepin Decision*; and

WHEREAS, the lower interest rate proposed in S967-A and A.1489-A is not defined and leaves the final decision up to the Department of Taxation and Finance, which could allow rates to be capped at no more than one-third of the current ceiling for the penalty interest rate, and below the average mortgage interest rate available to homeowners today and several multiples lower than the interest rate on a typical revolving credit products; and

WHEREAS, a key source of revenue during tax delinquency foreclosures for counties necessary to hold schools, towns and villages harmless for any unpaid property taxes has come from interest payments and surplus funds from foreclosure sales (which are no longer available under the *Tyler v Hennepin Decision*); and

WHEREAS, in subsequent years the increased revenue loss to counties from the U.S. Supreme Court decision and lower interest rates proposed in S.967-A and A.1489-A, along with higher administrative costs imposed by the aforementioned legislation that would require tax enforcement districts to apply different penalty interest rates to thousands of parcels based on their designation as residential, abandoned, commercial or other; and

WHEREAS, these higher costs will need to be added to the following year's tax levy raising property taxes on all property owners that already paid their property taxes in full in the prior year, worsening the housing affordability crisis for all.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, the New York State Association of Counties (NYSAC) opposes S.967-A/A.1489-A and recommends Governor Hochul to veto this legislation; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, copies of this resolution be sent to the counties of New York State encouraging them to enact similar resolutions; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, NYSAC shall forward copies of this resolution to Governor Kathy Hochul, the New York State Legislature and all others deemed necessary and proper.